IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE SIDE JURISIDICTION
WRIT PETITION NO.4230 OF 2004

Mr.Anil Madhavrao Patil ..Petitioner

Vs.

The State of Maharashtra & Ors...Respondents

...

Mr.Manoj Bhatt for Petitioner
Mr.D.A.Patil AGP for Resondents nos.1 and 2
Mr.N.N.Bhadrashete for Respondent no.3

...

CORAM : B.H.MARLAPALLE,J.
DATE : MARCH 22,2005

P.C.:

1. Heard Mr.Bhatt, the learned counsel for the petitioner.

2. Admittedly, the petitioner does not own a dwelling/flat in the respondent no.5 Housing Society and he is perhaps staying in Block Nos.4/5 along with his other family members, one of whom may be the owner of the said block. The petitioner, therefore, does not have the locus standi to challenge the registration of the respondent no.5 society. Under the circumstances, the challenge put up by him to the impugned order passed in revision application need not be entertained. Hence, the petition is rejected summarily.

[B.H.MARLAPALLE,J.]